

Israel Defense Forces

Before the Military Court in Samaria before a panel Court Case: 6446/02 Prosecution case: 756/02

Detailed incident case: 4968/02 Petach Tikva

4258/02 Yarkon 502/02 Zion

4685/02 Petach Tikva Station

[Stamp]
The Military Court in Samaria
June 23, 2002
[illegible]

In the trial between:

The military prosecutor

- The Prosecutor -

- v. -

Ibrahim Adnan Najib Abdel Hai

(detained since June 3, 2002)

Identity No. 411124423, born on August 20, 1979, from the village Burin

- The Defendant -

Indictment (Amended)

The above mentioned Defendant is hereby accused of committing the following offenses:

First count:

Nature of the offense: Membership in an illegal organization, an offense pursuant to Regulations 84(1)(A) and 85(1)(A) of the Defense Regulations (Time of Emergency), 1945.

<u>Details of the offense</u>: The above mentioned Defendant, in the Area, during the course of the month of December 2000 or thereabouts, was a member of a military cell belonging to the Al Aqsa Martyrs Brigades, which is an illegal organization;

[Stamp] P 5: 218

Prosecution Case 444/02 Amended

In other words: the above mentioned Defendant, at the time that was set forth above, decided to join a military cell in order to engage in military activity against Israeli targets within the framework thereof.

For this purpose, the Defendant approached his colleague,

(hereinafter – , and informed him of his wish to engage in military activity. The above mentioned told the Defendant that there was a military cell belonging to the Al Aqsa Martyrs Brigades, which carried out shooting and explosive device laying attacks against Israeli targets.

Suggested that the Defendant join this cell, and the Defendant agreed to do so.

[Stamp] P 5: 218 [continued]

discontinue his membership in this cell.

In addition to the Defendant, the members of this cell, which was known as the "Old City" cell, were:

The Defendant, three months from the day when he joined up with the above mentioned military cell, decided following a personal conflict that he had with one of the members of the cell, to

After the Defendant left the above mentioned cell, in March 2001 he encountered the military operative The Defendant asked to engage in military activity with him.

consented to the request of the Defendant and introduced to him a military operative of the Al Aqsa Martyrs Brigades,

When the military operatives knew that the Defendant was working with they asked him to join the cell to which the Defendant belonged. consented to their enrollment into the cell.

The membership of the Defendant in the military cell continued until the day of his arrest.

Second count:

<u>Nature of the offense</u>: Attempt to cause intentional death, an offense pursuant to Section 51(A) of the Security Provisions Order (Judea and Samaria) (No. 378), 5730-1970, and Sections 19-20, 14 of the Rules of Liability for an Offense Order (Judea and Samaria) (No. 225), 5728-1968.

<u>Details of the offense</u>: The above mentioned Defendant, in the Area, during October 2001 or thereabouts, attempted with another person to cause the intentional death of another person;

In other words, the above mentioned Defendant, at the time that was set forth above, in the evening hours, departed with his fellow cell members, and towards the Dir-Sharaf checkpoint in order to carry out a terrorist attack.

gave the Defendant approval to carry out the attack, and provided him with an M-16 rifle with two magazines.

The Defendant and his above mentioned colleagues traveled to the site of the checkpoint using a stolen Volkswagen type vehicle.

Filed 05/13/15

When they arrived close to the checkpoint, 15 meters away from it, they turned their vehicle in the opposite direction, the Defendant and opened the rear doors and fired the rifles at the three soldiers who were standing at the checkpoint, while the vehicle in which he was sitting was heading towards Nablus.

The Defendant fired all of the cartridges that were inside the magazine towards the soldiers, and also fired all of the cartridges that were inside the magazine towards the soldiers.

The soldiers did not shoot back at first; but after the vehicle in which the Defendant was traveling was 300 meters away, the Defendant and his colleagues heard gunshots.

[Stamp] P 5: 219 [continued]

Filed 05/13/15

Third count:

Nature of the offense: Attempt to cause intentional death, an offense pursuant to Section 51(A) of the Security Provisions Order (Judea and Samaria) (No. 378), 5730-1970, and Sections 19-20, 14 of the Rules of Liability for an Offense Order (Judea and Samaria) (No. 225), 5728-1968.

Details of the offense: The above mentioned Defendant, in the Area, during 2001 or thereabouts, was an accomplice in an attempt to cause the intentional death of another person;

In other words, the above mentioned Defendant, at the above mentioned time, was requested by a military operative of the Al Aqsa Martyrs Brigades, organization, to participate in the execution of a shooting attack against an Israeli vehicle. told the Defendant that he had tracked the movement of a Subaru type vehicle that

The Defendant agreed to the suggestion of

was being driven by an Israeli officer.

Accordingly, the Defendant, along with waited in hiding near Rujeib Junction for the vehicle that was traveling from the Elon Moreh area towards Hawara.

The Defendant was armed with an M-16 type rifle, was armed with a Kalashnikov, and was armed with a machine gun, and I was armed with an M-16 type rifle.

When the vehicle approached to a distance of approximately 200 meters from the site at which the Defendant and the fellow squad members were waiting, the Defendant fired about two fired about 11 rounds and fired about 10 rounds at it. rounds at it,

Fourth count:

Nature of the offense: Attempt to cause intentional death, an offense pursuant to Section 51(A) of the Security Provisions Order (Judea and Samaria) (No. 378), 5730-1970, and Sections 19-20, 14 of the Rules of Liability for an Offense Order (Judea and Samaria) (No. 225), 5728-1968.

Details of the offense: The above mentioned Defendant, in the Area, during January 2002 or thereabouts, participated in an attempt to cause the intentional death of another person;

In other words, at the above mentioned time,	and	conducted
surveillance of a mobile home in the Israeli se	ettlement of Yitzhar.	and I
learned from their surveillance that	t a person would con	ne to the mobile home every
day.		
After conducting the surveillance, the Defend	lant conspired with	
	A.0	1.1.1
to carry out	a shooting attack at the	ne mobile nome.

The Defendant devised the attack execution plan, and according to the plan, he was in charge of the cell during the execution of the attack.

The Defendant departed with the above mentioned gang, whose members were armed with M-16 type rifles, and English rifle, a Kalashnikov, towards the area of the settlement of Yitzhar.

[Stamp] P 5: 220 [continued]

When they arrived at the site, they waited on a hill that was approximately 300 meters away, overlooking the area.

After an hour, an open pickup type vehicle arrived at the mobile home, and three people alighted from it.

When the people approached the mobile home, the Defendant and his colleagues fired at them using the weapons in their possession. The Defendant fired approximately 10 rounds.

After the gunfire, the Defendant and his colleagues fled from the site.

Fifth count:

Nature of the offense: Causing intentional death, an offense under Sections 51(A) of the Security Provisions Order (Judea and Samaria) (No. 378), 5730-1970 and Section 14 of the Rules of Liability for an Offense Order (Judea and Samaria) (No. 225), 5728-1968.

Details of the offense: The above mentioned Defendant, in the Area, in late February 2002, together with others, caused the intentional death of another person, as follows:

1. The above mentioned Defendant, at the above mentioned time, when he participated in the funeral of Mohamed Ahmed Mustafa Algassam, attended Rafidia Hospital in Nablus, and during his stay there, said that the late Zahir Turabi (hereinafter – the Deceased) was at the hospital. The Defendant told about this. heard about this, he abducted the Deceased from the hospital. 2. After told the Defendant that he had questioned the Deceased Thereafter, about suspicions of collaboration with the State of Israel, and the Deceased confessed to this, and therefore [he] decided to murder the Deceased. to meet him in the evening hours in order for him The Defendant asked 3. to take them to a suitable place at which he would murder the Deceased. The Defendant and the Deceased was met again with them. The Defendant and his colleagues drove, using a Volkswagen type vehicle, to

[Stamp] P 5: 221

the Engineers neighborhood near Jenid.

- 4. At the above mentioned site, they took the Deceased out of the vehicle. The Defendant asked the Deceased to pray before they murdered him, but the Deceased refused. The Defendant asked him whether he would be prepared to murder the handler from the General Security Service who was responsible for him, but the Deceased refused to do so.
- 5. And then and and fired at the Deceased approximately 15 rounds, with the intent of causing his death. Thereafter, the Defendant approached the Deceased and fired one round into his head, in order to make sure that he was dead.
- 6. As a result of this, the death of the Deceased was caused.

7. The Defendant and his colleagues placed the "confession" of the deceased and a leaflet of the Al Agsa Martyrs Brigades organization on his body.

Sixth count: (Detailed Incident 502/02 Zion)

Nature of the offense: Causing intentional death, an offense under Sections 51(A) and 7(C) of the Security Provisions Order (Judea and Samaria) (No. 378), 5730-1970 and Sections 14 and 2 of the Rules of Liability for an Offense Order (Judea and Samaria) (No. 225), 5728-1968.

Details of the offense: The above mentioned Defendant, in the Area, on January 22, 2002 or thereabouts, was an accomplice in causing the intentional death of another person, as follows:

- 1. During the course of his work in the Palestinian Naval Police, the Defendant met Sa'id Ibrahim Ramadan (hereinafter - "Sa'id"). During one of their meetings, Sa'id told the Defendant that he wanted to carry out a suicide attack, and the Defendant asked Sa'id to give him an opportunity to check out the matter.
- The Defendant contacted and informed him of the intentions of Sa'id; and 2. The Defendant met the former referred him to asked the Defendant to check the informed him of Sa'id's intentions. sincerity of Sa'id's intentions.
- The Defendant, with the aim of testing Sa'id, put a "dummy" explosive belt on him and 3. gave him an imaginary telephone number, sent him from the Zawata area to the Tulkarm area, and asked him to call him when he arrived in the Tulkarm area. Said put on the explosive belt and started towards Tulkarm. The Defendant, who found that Sa'id was steadfast, asked him to return, on the pretext that the attack time had changed due to military checkpoints on the way. Sa'id was angry with the Defendant, who asked him to be prepared to carry out the attack at any time.
- and told him that Sa'id was 4. Accordingly, the Defendant contact prepared to carry out the attack, and he asked the Defendant to have him meet Sa'id.
- 5. During that period, (hereinafter –) had contacted and asked him to send him a person who was prepared to carry out a suicide attack. told that he himself would see to driving the suicide terrorist to Jerusalem in order for him to carry out the suicide attack.

- The Defendant brought Sa'id to the home of home , and in 6. and another person called was a person called filmed Sa'id, and wrote Sa'id's will. Sa'id was filmed while and holding an M-16 type rifle, a Koran and reading out his will.
- 7. Thereafter, the Defendant took Sa'id to his family, where he parted from them without informing them of his intentions of committing suicide.
- 8. Thereafter, the Defendant asked Sa'id to depart to Ramallah on the following day.

[Stamp] P 5: 222 [continued]

And in the morning of the following day, the Defendant noticed that Sa'id was departing for Ramallah. 9. On January 22, 2002, the above mentioned Sa'id met the above mentioned and informed him that he had been sent by for the purpose of carrying out a suicide attack. 10. The above mentioned called (alias and asked him to come to him. met 1 and Sa'id in and Ramallah. took Sa'id to a barber shop in order for him to have his hair cut before performing the planned suicide attack. 11. Thereafter, the above mentioned and called and asked him to drive a suicide bomber, referring to (alias Sa'id, from Ramallah to Jerusalem in order for the suicide bomber to carry out a suicide attack there and cause the death of as many Israeli civilians as possible. 12. arrived in Ramallah along with (hereinafter -, after the latter had agreed to participate in driving the suicide terrorist from Ramallah to Jerusalem. arrived at the meeting in an Isuzu pickup vehicle with Israeli license plates. 13. At the instruction of the above mentioned and] traveled in the Isuzu vehicle from Ramallah to Jerusalem in order to find a route that had no police or IDF checkpoints, with the aim of driving the suicide terrorists later on the same route, to carry out the planned attack in Jerusalem. 14. and traveled from Ramallah through Rafat and arrived at the Atarot Industrial Zone; there the two returned to the main Jerusalem -Ramallah road and took a left to the junction leading to Rama Junction, where they

[Stamp] P 5: 223

turned right and drove to Adam Junction. At Adam Junction the two turned right and traveled to Hizma junction, where they turned right and entered Anta. Through Anta, they arrived at the French Hill junction, where the two turned right and returned to Ramallah.

	and saw that via the route that they had traveled, they could drive the suicide terrorist to Jerusalem without being stopped at police or IDF checkpoints.
15.	magazines for the above mentioned assault rifle, filled with cartridges, two of the magazines being connected by a magazine coupler ("jungle clip").
16.	On the same day, and and Sa'id met in the area of the City Inn Hotel in Al Bira. introduced and and to the above mentioned Sa'id.
17.	explained to and to that Sa'id was the suicide terrorist, whom they had to drive to Jerusalem in order for him to carry out the suicide attack there by shooting at Israeli civilians with the aim of causing the death

[Stamp] P 5: 223 [continued]

- 18. and hid the above mentioned M-16 assault rifle and magazines in the above mentioned Isuzu vehicle.
- 19. and drove Sa'id to Jerusalem via the route that they had checked out earlier that day, as described above.
- 20. In Jerusalem, and traveled to Sheikh Jarah Street. There, they took out the M-16 assault rifle and the magazines that were hidden inside the vehicle and handed them over to Sa'id, and continued driving towards Hanevi'im Street. Upon reaching the junction of Strauss and Hanevi'im Streets, the two stopped the Isuzu vehicle.
- 21. told Sa'id to alight on foot to Jaffa Street and and start shooting wherever he would see many people.
- After Sa'id alighted from the vehicle, at the above mentioned site, with the M-16 and the 22. and ammunition, drove away in the Isuzu vehicle, through the Musrara neighborhood to Highway No. 1, and from there traveled through the main road to Ramallah. At the same time, a few minutes later, Sa'id arrived at Jaffa Street, armed with the M-16 assault rifle and the ammunition.
- At about 4:20 p.m., while standing opposite Building No. 47 in Jaffa Street or 23. thereabouts, Sa'id Ramadan loaded the M-16 assault rifle that he was carrying, shouted "Allahu Akbar" and discharged automatic gunfire indiscriminately at the people who were on Jaffa Street, at the bus stop at the site, aboard the No. 27 Egged bus that was at this stop at the time and at the people who were within the stores nearby with the aim of causing the death of as many people as possible. Sa'id Ramadan, while continuing to fire, fled from the site towards the parking lot on Harav Kook Street. There, Sa'id Ramadan changed magazines and continued to shoot at civilians with the aim of causing their death. Sa'id Ramadan fired through the M-16 assault rifle that he carried more than 38 cartridges. Sa'id Ramadan continued to shoot at civilians until he was killed by civilians and policemen who arrived at the site.
- 24. By his acts as described above, the above mentioned Defendant caused the intentional death of the late Ora (Svetlana) Sandlar, who died as a result of gunshot wounds caused by the bullets that were fired by the aforementioned Sa'id.

Seventh count: (Detailed Incident 502/02 Zion)

Nature of the offense: Causing intentional death, an offense under Sections 51(A) and 7(C) of the Security Provisions Order (Judea and Samaria) (No. 378), 5730-1970 and Sections 14 and 2 of the Rules of Liability for an Offense Order (Judea and Samaria) (No. 225), 5728-1968.

Details of the offense: The above mentioned Defendant, in and outside of the Area, on January 22, 2002 or thereabouts, was an accomplice in causing the intentional deaths of other persons;

[Stamp] P 5: 224 [continued]

In other words, by the actions of the Defendant described in the previous count of the indictment, the late Sarah Hamburger died, having succumbed to the gunshot wounds from the bullets that were fired by Sa'id Ramadan.

Eighth count: (Detailed Incident 502/02 Zion)

Nature of the offense: Attempt to cause intentional death, an offense pursuant to Sections 51(A) and 7(C) of the Security Provisions Order (Judea and Samaria) (No. 378), 5730-1970, and Sections 19-20, 14 and 2 of the Rules of Liability for an Offense Order (Judea and Samaria) (No. 225), 5728-1968.

Details of the offense: The above mentioned Defendant, in and outside of the Area, on January 22, 2002 or thereabouts, was an accomplice in attempting to cause the intentional death of others.

In other words, as a result of the attack described in the previous count of the indictment, the Defendant attempted to cause the death of others. As a result of the attack, more than 45 civilians who were at the site were slightly to severely injured.

Ninth count: (Detailed Incident 4258/02 Yarkon)

Nature of the offense: Causing intentional death, an offense under Sections 51(A) and 7(C) of the Security Provisions Order (Judea and Samaria) (No. 378), 5730-1970 and Sections 14 and 2 of the Rules of Liability for an Offense Order (Judea and Samaria) (No. 225), 5728-1968.

Details of the offense: The above mentioned Defendant, in and outside of the Area, on March 5, 2002 or thereabouts, was an accomplice in causing the intentional death of others, as follows:

- 1. At the above mentioned time, approached the Defendant and informed him of his wish to carry out a suicide attack. The Defendant, with the aim of examining the sincerity of the intentions of sent him with a "dummy" explosive belt, took him to the Zawata area, gave him a telephone number and told him to call to let him know when he would reach the Tulkarm area.
- After the Defendant made sure of the sincerity of the intentions of 2. they asked him to return and told him that the time of the attack had been deferred. The Defendant where they filmed him with a took to the home of video camera.

	read out a will in which he said that the attack was revenge for the
	leath of and
3.	During that period, (hereinafter –) called
	by telephone, and during the call informed the
	above mentioned that he was sending him an additional suicide terrorist in order
	for send him into the State of Israel for carrying out a shooting attack.
4.	The Defendant took to a place in which he often slept in the Almahfia
	area. On the following day, on March 4, 2002, he sent him to Ramallah, gave him a
	elephone number, and asked him to call him once he arrived in Ramallah.
	did so, when he arrived in Ramallah.

[Stamp] P 5: 225 [continued]

[Stamp] P 5: 226

their death.

carrying and started to stab Israeli civilians who were at the site with the intent of causing

- 13. The late policeman Master Sergeant Salim Barikat arrived at the said site, rushed at and overpowered him. The late Master Sergeant Salim Barikat had the chance to inform his commanders that he had overpowered the terrorist.
- 14. At this stage, stabbed the late Master Sergeant Salim Barikat using the above mentioned commando knife with the intent of causing his death. As a result of the stab wound, the late Master Sergeant Salim Barikat died at the site.
- 15. By his acts described above, the above mentioned Defendant caused the intentional death of the late Master Sergeant Salim Barikat.

[Stamp] P 5: 226 [continued]